

MnM SWOT

From HL7Wiki

Contents

- 1 SWOT
 - 1.1 Strengths
 - 1.2 Weaknesses
 - 1.3 Opportunities
 - 1.4 Threats

SWOT

Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats for Modeling and Methodology Work Group (MnM)

Strengths

- Active members with strong knowledge of Methodology and its foundations
- Active members with a passion for a functional methodology
- Solid foundation in RIM and Methodology
- The HL7 membership trusts the work group to lead

Weaknesses

- Inadequate documentation of some of Methodology & Processes
- Difficulty getting all (or even most) facilitators together to form true consensus
- Lack of bandwidth (and commitment?) to train new participants and Facilitators
- Difficult to track, monitor and maintain active communication with the organizations breadth of Work Groups and projects

Opportunities

- Opening for outreach and collaboration with "non-messaging" V3
- Chance to improve collaboration with Vocabulary, SOA and Structured Documents
- Change to re-think and refactor artifacts and methodology as part of SAIF and FHIR work
- Engage w/ OMG and others to seek incorporation of key methodology constructs (design by constraint, terminology binding, etc.) into "standard" methodologies such as UML.

Threats

- Losing credibility due to inability to respond to key issues in timely fashion
- Transition to FHIR (if it occurs) could be very disruptive to the organization, creating a negative perception of MnM
- Demands of a FHIR transition may place demands on MnM the committee doesn't have the bandwidth to handle
- FHIR is consuming resources that would otherwise be directed to other responsibilities, slowing delivery times

Retrieved from "http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=MnM_SWOT"

- This page was last modified on 20 January 2012, at 09:35.